REMARKS

Claims 1-37 are in the application.

Claims 6, 7, 8, and 14 are amended.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Friedland et al. in view of Alaia et al. Applicants reserve the right to attedate the cited references, but elect not to do so at this time, since these are believed distinguished.

Friedland et al. is cited for the proposition that an Interpet based multiple unit declining price auction (e.g., the Dutch Auction) was known prior to the invention. Clearly, the Dutch Auction was well known. However, the application of this technology to the Internet is not without its problems; as much by Friedland et al. First, the Internet and its underlying protocols do not guaranty delivery of any packets, making an implementation of a time-critical offer acceptance transaction difficult. Likewise, while error detection and correction; as well as packet delivery confirmation and retransmission aspects of the protocols can ensure that, so long as the communication link is open, the message eventually gets through, it cannot guaranty that this occurs in real-time. Thus, packets sent earlier may be received later.

Alaia et al. do not remediate the sefferencies of Friedland et al. or other kinown prior art with respect to the claims as noted below.

In the context of a time-based declining price auction, real time communications, or at least a mechanism for compensation for possible time delays, are critical, in order to assure fairness. However, named web-browsers, without ancillary programming logic, have no means for implementing these compensations (without opening the auction process to the possibility of fried).

The present invention, therefore proposes an architecture which provides an intermediary "remote server" which permits a local client to employ a traditional web browser, without particular modification or add-ons, to interact with the central server.

Once the remote server is provided, it may perform a variety of functions. For example, it may convert the format of communicated information, such as by compressing, reformating, translating, or otherwise altering a format of the data. Thus, while a web browser client expects to interact with a server by means of a hypertext markup language protocol, e.g., "http://w the communication between remote server and

central server need not conform to this specification, and indeed may adopt a different and/or more optimal protocol. The remote server may maintain clock synchronization with the central server, and thus reliably lime stamp packets.

This modification is expressed to the following language in claim 1: "wherein remaining quantity information and bid identification information are communicated between the central server and a plurality of local servers, each local server communicating with at least one respective remote location, each local server altering a format of information communicated between a remote location and the central server."

Another advantage of the remote server is that it is capable of performing logical operations, and therefore may interact directly with the remote location, e.g., web browser, without acting as a simple piexy for communications from the central server.

Thus, the remote server may implement a set of rules, such as time-based rules, to offload the central server of functionality that may be determined.

Claim 21 thus includes the step of "implementing the set of rules of transaction proximate to a client for ensuring compliance with each of said rules".

Claim 6 has been amended without prejudice of disolatiner, to recite a limitation within the scope of the rationals for patentability for claims 1 and 21, as follows: "receiving bid identifications for remaining that within the lot at the contemporaneous offering price from the plurality of remote locations by communicating between a set of users and a plurality remote servers at respective remote locations to interactively define the bid identifications, and communicating the defined bid identifications between the remote location and the central server substantially without interactive communications directly between the user and the central server.

Claim 8, amended without prejudice or disclaimer, has a slightly different expression of the patentable feature:

"(c) automatically maintaining synchronization of a clock at each remote location and receiving at the central server bid identifications for remaining units within the lot at the contemporaneous effering price associated with a time of bid identification from the plurality of remote locations;

3

(d) decrementing the offering price over time and secrementing the quantity of remaining units, prioritizing average of units based on the time of bid identification, if received within a bid time window;

As discussed in the specification, the time of receipt of a bid may differ from the time of bidding. In order to make the specific fair chose bidding with superior communications infrastructure should not on that basis alone have a bidding advantage. Thus, the time of bid is used as an award criterion. On the offer hand, the time of bidding is derived from a clock at the tennes boation, which may be subject to alteration or error. That is, the local clock may be intentionally missed or tappered with, resulting in a possible advantage. Thus, the clock is automatically apprehiended, abating this possible issue. While this method statin does and explicitly define a server at the remote location, it does define functions which must be met by some hardware device. Thus, it is believed that claim 8 is paternable based on similar distinctions from the prior art as claims 1 and 21.

Claim 14, amended without projective or disclaimer, it similar to claim 8 as amended, and recites the step of: "over a period of time, generally relaxing a limiting restriction on acceptable transaction parameters for the subject, and prioritizing an award of a quantity of subject to a respective bayer based on a sequence of generation of bids, if received within a bid time window, wherein the sequence is determined based on an automatically synchronized timebase, which apprintizes a selfur utility" Thus, claim 14 also encompasses automatic synchronization of clocks and award based on the sequence of bid formulation.

It is respectfully submitted that herither of the applied inferences addresses an interactive communication howed by a server serious from the sentral auction control server, which alters a format of communications or applies a set of rules. Likewise, none of the applied references addresses the issue of avaiding the subject of the auction to the first bid generated, rather than the first bid received, thus limiting the unfairness resulting from disparities of communications in bashingure.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that the present of sinns are patentable, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solution.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven MJ Hoffberg Reg. No. 33,511

MILDE & HOFFBERG LLP 10 Bank Street - Suite 460 White Plains, NY 10606

(914) 949-3100

PAGE 13/13 * RCVD AT 10/5/2005 9:23:03 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:9149494445 * DURATION (mm-ss):19-46